

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/982,383	10/18/2001	Rajendra Kumar	D-386	7672	
75	90 07/11/2006		EXAMINER		
Derrick M. Reid			KIM, KEVIN		
Patent Attorney The Aerospace			ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
P.O. Box 92957 (MI/040)			2611		
Los Angeles, C	A 90009-2957		DATE MAILED: 07/11/2000	6	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				\$
		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summary		09/982,383	KUMAR ET AL.	
		Examiner	Art Unit	
		Kevin Y. Kim	2611	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address	
A SHOWHIC - Externafter - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE asions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. It period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communicat D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status				
2a)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>26 Ar</u> This action is FINAL . 2b) This Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro		is
Dispositi	on of Claims			
5)⊠ 6)⊠ 7)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-9</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) <u>2-6</u> is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1 and 7</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) <u>8 and 9</u> is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or			
Applicati	on Papers			
10)	The specification is objected to by the Examiner The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce Applicant may not request that any objection to the of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	epted or b) objected to by the Idrawing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is object.	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121	1(d).
,	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) 🔲	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati ity documents have been receive	on No	
* S	see the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	ed.	
,				
Attachment	Ne)			
1) Notice 2) Notice 3) Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:		

Application/Control Number: 09/982,383 Page 2

Art Unit: 2611

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

- 1. Applicant's arguments filed April 26, 2006 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.
- 2. Applicant argues that Kost fails to teach "channelization" since channelization involves separating a wideband signal into a plurality of frequency band signals. However, as pointed out in the previous Office action, the claimed invention does not include such a definition. In other words, the claimed invention does not require a separation of a wide band signal into a plurality of frequency band signals. It merely calls for "channelizing an IF wideband input signal into separated channelized digital output signals." In that sense, Kost et al clearly teaches separate digital output signals (91,93) that are obtained by a bank of samples, converters, polyphase filters and a processor. In sum, in response to applicant's argument that the references fail to show certain features of applicant's invention, it is noted that the features upon which applicant relies (i.e. "separating a wideband signal into a plurality of frequency band signals") are not recited in the rejected claim(s). Although the claims are interpreted in light of the specification, limitations from the specification are not read into the claims. See *In re Van Geuns*, 988 F.2d 1181, 26 USPQ2d 1057 (Fed. Cir. 1993). It should be noted that this feature is specifically recited in claims 8 and 9.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Application/Control Number: 09/982,383 Page 3

Art Unit: 2611

4. Claims 1 and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Marko et al (US 6,823,169 previously cited) in view of Kost et al (US 6,081,215 previously cited).

Claim 1.

Marko et al discloses a system, see Fig.5, comprising;

a complex mixer (216,218) for quadrature demodulation of a wideband IF input signal into a complex signal, the complex signal comprising I and Q quadrature baseband signals (see col. 6, lines 30-46),

a sampler and converter, i.e., A/D converter (224,226), for converting the complex signal into sampled digital complex signals and

a clock generator (not shown but is required to provide a sampling clock signal to the A/D converter).

The claimed invention differs from Marko et al's receiver in that it uses a bank of samplers and a bank of converters, and a polyphase clock generator to provide sampling clocks to the plurality of samplers in a phase-staggered manner. Referring to Fig.4, Kost et al teaches a bank of low-rate A/D converters (48,50) and a polyphase clock generator (70) providing sampling clocks (71,73) of staggered phases to the A/D converters for the purpose of overcoming the shortcomings of a single A/D converter such as large power consumption and non-linearity when a wide bandwidth signal is to be sampled. See Kost et al at col. 1, lines 41-43. Kost et al further teach a polyphase filter bank of filters (78,80) for respectively filtering the sampled I and Q quadrature baseband signals and a processor (82,84) for transforming the filtered complex signals into the channelized digital output signals. See col. 7, line 49 ~ col. 8, line 4. Note that the complex signal demodulated by the complex mixer in Marko et al's system

Application/Control Number: 09/982,383

Art Unit: 2611

has a wide bandwidth. See Mark et al at col. 5, lines 46-47. Thus, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to replace the A/D converters of Marko et al with a respective set of a plurality of low rate A/D converters (i.e., a bank of sampler coupled to a bank of converters) clocked by a polyphase clock generator, as taught by Kost et al, for the purpose of reducing power consumption and non-linearity associated with the single A/D conversion of the wide bandwidth signal in the receiver of Marko et al.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 5. Claims 8 and 9 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 6. Claims 2-6 are allowed.

Conclusion

7. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event,

Application/Control Number: 09/982,383 Page 5

Art Unit: 2611

however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kevin Y. Kim whose telephone number is 571-272-3039. The examiner can normally be reached on 8AM --5PM M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jay Patel can be reached on 571-272-2988. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

July 5, 2006

AU 2611

/Ceru / Cur.

KEVIN K:M

PATENT EXÂMINER